HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2275 OF 2006.

Sanjay Mishrimal Punamiya . ..Petitioner.

Versus.

The State of Maharashtra.
& others. ..Respondents.

Rishi Bhuta for the petitioner.

Gadkari A.P.P. for the State.

CORAM: S.B.MHASE &
S.R.SATHE JJ

DATE: NOVEMBER 28, 2006.

P.C.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner. Out of the two accused, one accused has already been arrested. So far as other accused is concerned, he is absconding. Mr. Gadkari, learned A.P.P. informs to this Court that the absconding accused who was granted bail in Cr. No. 64 of 2006, was directed to attend police station twice a week. However, he further reported that the accused is not attending the police station, and therefore the proposal has been submitted to the appropriate Court for cancellation of his bail and to take appropriate steps against the sureties. Therefore, he hopes that the Court will take appropriate steps as against the said absconding accused and the

sureties of the said accused. In view of this, we find that the investigation is going on properly. No interference from this Court is necessary. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he may be allowed to withdraw this petition. Therefore, petition is allowed to be withdrawn and is disposed of as such.

(S.R.SATHE,J.) **(S.B.MHASE, J.)**

:sac/2006/sathe/